

1 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.  
United States Attorney  
2 DENNISE D. WILLETT  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office  
JEANNIE M. JOSEPH (Cal. Bar No. 180399)  
4 Deputy Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office  
5 JOSHUA M. ROBBINS (Cal. State Bar No. 270553)  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
6 8000 United States Courthouse  
411 West Fourth Street  
7 Santa Ana, California 92701  
Telephone: (714) 338-3576/3538  
8 Facsimile: (714) 338-3708  
9 Email: Joshua.Robbins@usdoj.gov

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
2014 FEB 21 AM 10:35  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.  
SANTA ANA

FILED

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14 SOUTHERN DIVISION

SACR 14-00034

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
16 Plaintiff,  
17 v.  
18 MICHAEL D. DROBOT,  
19 Defendant.  
20

No. SA CR 14-  
PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT  
MICHAEL D. DROBOT

21 1. This constitutes the plea agreement between MICHAEL D.  
22 DROBOT ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the  
23 Central District of California ("the USAO") in the above-captioned  
24 case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any  
25 other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement,  
26 administrative, or regulatory authorities.

27 / / /  
28 / / /

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

2. Defendant agrees to:

a) Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a two-count criminal Information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, and Payment of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b) (2) (A).

b) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

c) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.

d) Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.

e) Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.

f) Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.

g) Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the USAO.

3. Defendant further agrees:

1           a) Truthfully to disclose to law enforcement officials,  
2 at a date and time to be set by the USAO, the location of,  
3 defendant's ownership interest in, and all other information known  
4 to defendant about, all monies, properties, and/or assets of any  
5 kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate  
6 the commission of, defendant's illegal activities, and to forfeit  
7 all right, title, and interest in and to such items.

8           b) To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture at or  
9 before sentencing with respect to these assets and to the forfeiture  
10 of the assets.

11           c) To take whatever steps are necessary to pass to the  
12 United States clear title to the assets described above, including,  
13 without limitation, the execution of a consent decree of forfeiture  
14 and the completing of any other legal documents required for the  
15 transfer of title to the United States.

16           d) Not to contest any administrative forfeiture  
17 proceedings or civil judicial proceedings commenced by the United  
18 States of America against these properties.

19           e) Not to assist any other individual in any effort  
20 falsely to contest the forfeiture of the assets described above.

21           f) Not to claim that reasonable cause to seize the  
22 assets was lacking.

23           g) To prevent the transfer, sale, destruction, or loss  
24 of any and all assets described above to the extent defendant has  
25 the ability to do so.

26           h) To fill out and deliver to the USAO a completed  
27 financial statement listing defendant's assets on a form provided by  
28 the USAO.



1           c)    At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant  
2 demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to  
3 and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level  
4 reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level,  
5 pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move  
6 for an additional one-level reduction if available under that  
7 section.

8           d)    Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of  
9 imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing  
10 Guidelines range, provided that the offense level used by the Court  
11 to determine that range is 35 and provided that the Court does not  
12 depart downward in criminal history category. For purposes of this  
13 agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that  
14 defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A ,  
15 without regard to reductions in the term of imprisonment that may be  
16 permissible through the substitution of community confinement or  
17 home detention as a result of the offense level falling within Zone  
18 B or Zone C of the Sentencing Table.

19           e)    Except for criminal tax violations (including  
20 conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C.  
21 § 371), not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations  
22 arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to  
23 factual basis set forth in paragraph 21 below. Defendant  
24 understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant  
25 for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that  
26 occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at  
27 the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct  
28 in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the

1 propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the  
2 sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing  
3 Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

4 7. The USAO further agrees:

5 a) Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the  
6 above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be  
7 brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any  
8 sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought  
9 against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information.

10 Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation  
11 Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to  
12 other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose,  
13 including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-  
14 examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence  
15 offered, or argument or representation made, by defendant,  
16 defendant's counsel, or a witness called by defendant in any trial,  
17 sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any  
18 criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction  
19 of justice, or perjury.

20 b) Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant  
21 at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable  
22 guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward  
23 departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the  
24 Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the  
25 applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant  
26 understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed  
27 to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use  
28

1 Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G  
2 § 1B1.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.

3 c) In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring  
4 to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's  
5 cooperation.

6 d) If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment,  
7 that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under  
8 paragraphs 2 through 4 above and provided substantial assistance to  
9 law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another  
10 ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G.  
11 § 5K1.1, to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range  
12 below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to  
13 recommend a term of imprisonment within this reduced range.

14 DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

15 8. Defendant understands the following:

16 a) Any knowingly false or misleading statement by  
17 defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement,  
18 obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by  
19 defendant of this agreement.

20 b) Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any  
21 other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory  
22 authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may  
23 offer, or to use it in any particular way.

24 c) Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty plea if  
25 the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a  
26 reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the  
27 Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion  
28 but elects to sentence above the reduced range.





1 the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for  
2 the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

3 10. Defendant understands that Mail Fraud, in violation of  
4 Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, has the following  
5 elements: (1) the defendant knowingly devised or participated in a  
6 scheme or plan to defraud, or a scheme or plan for obtaining money  
7 or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses,  
8 representations or promises; (2) the statements made or facts  
9 omitted as part of the scheme were material, that is, they had a  
10 natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a  
11 person to part with money or property; (3) the defendant acted with  
12 the intent to defraud; and (4) the defendant used, or caused to be  
13 used, the mails to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential  
14 part of the scheme. Defendant further understands that Honest  
15 Services Mail Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,  
16 Section 1346, has the following elements: (1) the defendant devised  
17 or participated in a scheme or plan to deprive a patient of his or  
18 her right to honest services; (2) the scheme or plan consisted of a  
19 bribe or kickback in exchange for medical services; (3) a medical  
20 professional person owed a fiduciary duty to the patient; (4) the  
21 defendant acted with the intent to defraud by depriving the patient  
22 of his or her right of honest services; (5) the defendant's act was  
23 material, that is, it had a natural tendency to influence, or was  
24 capable of influencing, a person's acts; and (6) the defendant used,  
25 or caused someone to use, the mails to carry out or attempt to carry  
26 out the scheme or plan.

27 11. Defendant understands that Interstate Travel in Aid of a  
28 Racketeering Enterprise, in violation of Title 18, United States

1 Code, Section 1952(a)(3), has the following elements: (1) defendant  
2 used the mail or a facility of interstate commerce with the intent  
3 to promote, manage, establish, or carry on, or facilitate the  
4 promotion, management, establishment, or carrying on, of unlawful  
5 activity, specifically payment and receipt of kickbacks in violation  
6 of California Business & Professions Code § 650, California  
7 Insurance Code § 750, and California Labor Code § 3215; and (2)  
8 after doing so, defendant performed or attempted to perform an act  
9 to promote, manage, establish, or carry on, or facilitate the  
10 promotion, management, establishment, or carrying on, of such  
11 unlawful activity.

12 12. Defendant understands that Money Laundering, in violation  
13 of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957, has the following  
14 elements: (1) the defendant knowingly engaged or attempted to  
15 engage in a monetary transaction; (2) the defendant knew the  
16 transaction involved criminally derived property; (3) the property  
17 had a value greater than \$10,000; (4) the property was, in fact,  
18 derived from mail fraud; and (5) the transaction occurred in the  
19 United States.

20 13. Defendant further understands that for defendant to be  
21 guilty of the crime charged in count two of the information, that  
22 is, Payment of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care  
23 Program, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(2)(A), the  
24 following must be true: (1) defendant knowingly and wilfully paid  
25 remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to another  
26 person; (2) the remuneration was given to induce that person to  
27 refer an individual for the furnishing or arranging for the  
28 furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in

1 whole or in part under a Federal health care program; and (3)  
2 defendant knew that such payment of remuneration was illegal.

3 PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

4 14. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence  
5 that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States  
6 Code, Section 371, is: 5 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of  
7 supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or  
8 gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a  
9 mandatory special assessment of \$100.

10 15. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence  
11 that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 42, United States  
12 Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(2)(A), is: 5 years imprisonment; a 3-year  
13 period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross  
14 gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is  
15 greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

16 16. Defendant therefore understands that the total maximum  
17 sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is:  
18 10 years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a  
19 fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting  
20 from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special  
21 assessment of \$200.

22 17. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period  
23 of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be  
24 subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant  
25 understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions  
26 of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to  
27 prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized  
28 by statute for the offenses that resulted in the term of supervised

1 release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of  
2 imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

3 18. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant  
4 may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic  
5 rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm,  
6 the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.  
7 Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty  
8 pleas, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a  
9 firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions  
10 in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral  
11 consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation,  
12 parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or  
13 revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that  
14 unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to  
15 withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

16 19. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United  
17 States citizen, the felony convictions in this case may subject  
18 defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under  
19 some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial  
20 of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot,  
21 and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant  
22 fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony  
23 convictions in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected  
24 immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw  
25 defendant's guilty pleas.

26 20. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to  
27 pay full restitution to the victims of the offenses to which  
28 defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant agrees that, in return for

1 the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the  
2 Court may order restitution to persons other than the victims of the  
3 offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and in amounts  
4 greater than those alleged in the counts to which defendant is  
5 pleading guilty. In particular, defendant agrees that the Court may  
6 order restitution to any victim of any of the following for any  
7 losses suffered by that victim as a result: (a) any relevant  
8 conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with the  
9 offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty; and (b) any charges  
10 not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement as well as all relevant  
11 conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with those  
12 counts and charges. The parties have not come to an agreement on  
13 the amount of restitution.

14 FACTUAL BASIS

15 21. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the  
16 offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant  
17 and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and  
18 agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of  
19 guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish  
20 the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 23 below  
21 but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant  
22 to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either  
23 party that relate to that conduct.

24 Pacific Hospital of Long Beach ("Pacific Hospital") was a  
25 hospital located in Long Beach, California, specializing in  
26 surgeries, particularly spinal and orthopedic surgeries. From at  
27 least in or around 1997 to October 2013, Pacific Hospital was owned  
28 and/or operated by defendant.

1 Beginning in or around 1998 and continuing through in or around  
2 November 2013, defendant conspired with dozens of doctors,  
3 chiropractors, marketers, and others to pay kickbacks in return for  
4 those persons to refer thousands of patients to Pacific Hospital for  
5 spinal surgeries and other medical services paid for primarily  
6 through the Federal Employees' Compensation Act ("FECA") and the  
7 California Workers' Compensation System ("CWCS"). To help generate  
8 the monies for the kickback payments, defendant used a co-schemers  
9 company or his own company International Implants ("I2"), located in  
10 Newport Beach, California, to fraudulently inflate the price of  
11 medical hardware purchased by Pacific Hospital to be used in the  
12 spinal surgeries; defendant knew that, under California law, medical  
13 hardware was considered a "pass-through" cost that could be billed  
14 at no more than \$250 over what Pacific Hospital paid for the  
15 hardware. In paying the kickbacks, inflating the medical hardware  
16 costs, and submitting the resulting claims for spinal surgeries and  
17 medical services, defendant and his co-conspirators acted with the  
18 intent to defraud workers' compensation insurance carriers and to  
19 deprive the patients of their right of honest services.

20 Defendant also provided a stream of financial benefits to  
21 California State Senator Ronald S. Calderon ("Senator Calderon") in  
22 order to influence him to support, and in exchange for supporting,  
23 defendant's positions on legislation and regulations that would  
24 enhance defendant's ability to commit and expand his health care  
25 fraud scheme -- in particular, legislation concerning hospitals'  
26 ability to "pass through" to workers' compensation insurance  
27 carriers the cost of medical hardware used in spinal surgeries.

28

1           The hospital kickback scheme operated as follows: defendant  
2 and other co-conspirators offered to pay kickbacks to doctors,  
3 chiropractors, marketers, and others (the "kickback recipients") in  
4 return for their referring workers' compensation patients to Pacific  
5 Hospital for spinal surgeries, other types of surgeries, magnetic  
6 resonance imaging, toxicology, durable medical equipment, and other  
7 services, to be paid through FECA and the CWCS. For spinal  
8 surgeries, typically, defendant offered to pay a kickback of \$15,000  
9 per lumbar fusion surgery and \$10,000 per cervical fusion surgery  
10 provided that the surgeon used in the surgery hardware supplied by a  
11 specified distributor. Beginning in approximately 2008, defendant's  
12 company I2 typically was the specified distributor; if the surgeon  
13 did not use I2's hardware in the surgery, the kickbacks offered were  
14 smaller.

15           Influenced by the promise of kickbacks, the kickback recipients  
16 referred patients insured through the CWCS and the FECA to Pacific  
17 Hospital for spinal surgeries, other types of surgeries, and other  
18 medical services. In some cases, the patients lived dozens or  
19 hundreds of miles from Pacific Hospital, and closer to other  
20 qualified medical facilities. The workers' compensation patients  
21 were not informed that the medical professionals had been offered  
22 kickbacks to induce them to refer the surgeries to Pacific Hospital.

23           Pursuant to the kickback agreements, the kickback recipients  
24 referred patients to Pacific Hospital. In the case of spinal  
25 surgeries, as part of the kickback agreements, surgeons often used  
26 the specified distributor, including I2. Typically, for surgeries  
27 covered by the CWCS, the price I2 or the co-conspirator distributor  
28 charged for the hardware was inflated by a multiple of the price at

1 which I2 or the other distributor had purchased the device from the  
2 manufacturer.

3 Pacific Hospital submitted claims, by mail and electronically,  
4 to workers' compensation insurance carriers for payment of the costs  
5 of the surgeries and other medical services. For a spinal surgery,  
6 Pacific Hospital typically submitted a claim for the hospital's  
7 services and the medical hardware used in the surgery. For  
8 surgeries covered by the CWCS, Pacific Hospital submitted the  
9 inflated invoice for the hardware from I2 or other specified  
10 distributors who were co-conspirators, plus an additional \$250.  
11 Thus, the purported "pass-through" cost submitted in the claims for  
12 medical hardware was thousands of dollars -- and sometimes tens of  
13 thousands of dollars -- higher than what the manufacturer actually  
14 charged and what I2 or the co-conspirator distributor actually paid  
15 for the hardware.

16 As defendant and his co-conspirators knew, federal and  
17 California law prohibited paying or receiving the aforementioned  
18 kickbacks for the referral of patients for medical services.  
19 Defendant and his co-conspirators also knew that the insurance  
20 carriers would be unwilling to pay claims for medical services that  
21 were obtained through such illegal kickbacks. Moreover, defendant  
22 and his co-conspirators knew that the insurance carriers would be  
23 unwilling to pay claims for spinal surgery hardware that were  
24 artificially inflated and substantially above the manufacturer's  
25 price. However, defendant and his co-conspirators deliberately did  
26 not disclose to the insurance carriers the kickbacks, the inflation  
27 of the medical hardware, or the fact that I2 was owned and  
28 controlled by defendant and was not a manufacturer of such hardware.



1 Rather, at some point, defendant and his co-conspirators included on  
2 I2's invoices stamps falsely stating that I2 was an "FDA Registered  
3 Manufacturer."

4 Further, to conceal the illegal kickback payments from the  
5 workers' compensation insurance carriers and patients, defendant and  
6 his co-conspirators entered into bogus contracts under which the  
7 kickback recipients purported to provide services to defendant's  
8 companies to justify the kickback payments. The services and other  
9 items of value discussed in those contracts were, in fact, generally  
10 not provided to Pacific Hospital or were provided at highly inflated  
11 prices. The compensation to the kickback recipients was actually  
12 based on the number and type of surgeries they referred to the  
13 hospital. These contracts included, among others, the following:  
14 collection agreements, option agreements, research and development  
15 agreements, lease and rental agreements, consulting agreements,  
16 marketing agreements, and management agreements.

17 Defendant and his co-conspirators kept records of the number of  
18 surgeries and other medical services performed at Pacific Hospital  
19 due to referrals from the kickback recipients, as well as amounts  
20 paid to the kickback recipients for those referrals. Periodically,  
21 defendant and others amended the bogus contracts with the kickback  
22 recipients to increase or decrease the amount of agreed compensation  
23 described in the contracts, in order to match the amount of  
24 kickbacks paid or promised in return for referrals.

25 From in or around 2008 to in or around April 2013, Pacific  
26 Hospital billed workers' compensation insurance carriers  
27 approximately \$500 million in claims for several thousand spinal  
28 surgeries that were the result of the payment of kickbacks; and

1 defendant and other co-conspirators paid kickback recipients between  
2 approximately \$20 million and \$50 million in kickbacks relating to  
3 those claims.

4 To preserve his ability to pass on the inflated spinal surgery  
5 hardware costs to the insurance carriers, and thus to help to pay  
6 the kickbacks, defendant provided a stream of financial benefits to  
7 Senator Calderon in order to induce the senator to oppose  
8 legislation and regulation that would have eliminated the "pass-  
9 through" rule, as well as to support legislation that would have  
10 supported defendant's health care fraud scheme. For example, at  
11 Senator Calderon's request, defendant agreed to pay Senator  
12 Calderon's son \$10,000 per summer (take-home or net) to work as a  
13 summer file clerk for defendant's company in 2010, 2011, and 2012.  
14 Defendant would not have ordinarily done this, but did so here in  
15 order to ensure that Senator Calderon would take positions on spinal  
16 surgery and pass-through legislation favorable to defendant. In  
17 2010, at Senator Calderon's request, defendant caused his company to  
18 pay Senator Calderon's son \$10,000 upfront to be a summer file  
19 clerk. In 2011, again at Senator Calderon's request, defendant  
20 caused his company to pay Senator Calderon's son \$10,000 to be a  
21 summer file clerk. In 2012, defendant made Senator Calderon's son a  
22 W-2 employee, which caused taxes to be withheld from his paycheck.  
23 When Senator Calderon informed defendant that his son needed to net  
24 \$10,000 in the summer, defendant caused his company, despite that it  
25 was in financial difficulty and laying off workers, to pay Senator  
26 Calderon's son an increased amount of up to near \$18,000 so that  
27 Senator Calderon's son would net \$10,000 for the summer of 2012.  
28 Defendant ensured that his company made these payments to Senator

1 Calderon's son each summer regardless of how few days Senator  
2 Calderon's son actually worked.

3 In addition, on several occasions and while Senator Calderon  
4 was supporting legislative positions favorable to defendant,  
5 defendant took Senator Calderon to exclusive, high-end golf resorts.  
6 Defendant paid for these golf outings in order to ensure Senator  
7 Calderon's continued legislative support. Additionally, defendant  
8 took Senator Calderon out to expensive dinners and provided him with  
9 free flights on a private plane. All of these financial benefits  
10 were intended to ensure that Senator Calderon would take legislative  
11 positions favorable to defendant and Pacific Hospital, which would  
12 allow defendant to continue to commit and expand his health care  
13 fraud scheme. In response to these financial benefits from  
14 defendant, Senator Calderon, among other things, arranged meetings  
15 for defendant with other senators to discuss defendant's legislative  
16 agenda and advocated positions on legislation that would financially  
17 benefit defendant and Pacific Hospital.

18 In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the objects  
19 of the conspiracy, defendant and other co-conspirators committed  
20 various overt acts within the Central District of California,  
21 including but not limited to the following:

22 Overt Act No. 1

23 On or about November 10, 2009, defendant caused a check in the  
24 amount of \$43,650.00 from SCIF to be sent by mail to Pacific  
25 Hospital in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on patient  
26 J.M. performed by doctor C.D., which claim was induced by the  
27 payment of a kickback to J.C.

28 / / /

1           Overt Act No. 2

2           In or around February 2010, defendant met with  
3 Senator Calderon in Sacramento, California and agreed to hire  
4 Senator Calderon's son each summer for the next several summers and  
5 to pay him \$10,000 per summer, so that Senator Calderon would have  
6 enough money to pay for his son's college tuition.

7           Overt Act No. 3

8           On or about April 14, 2010, defendant caused a check in the  
9 amount of \$90,467.80 from SCIF to be sent by mail to Pacific  
10 Hospital in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on patient  
11 L.T. performed by doctor M.C., which claim was induced by the  
12 payment of a kickback to P.S.

13           Overt Act No. 4

14           In or around April 2010, defendant had Senator Calderon meet  
15 with a Director at the Division of Workers' Compensation and discuss  
16 the negative impact that proposed regulations would have on Pacific  
17 Hospital and other hospitals.

18           Overt Act No. 5

19           On or about July 13, 2010, defendant caused Senator Calderon's  
20 son to be paid \$10,000 in advance of clerical work Senator  
21 Calderon's son was to perform at one of defendant's companies.

22           Overt Act No. 6

23           In or around February 2011, defendant had Senator Calderon meet  
24 with Senator A and request that Senator A introduce legislation in  
25 the California Senate that would be favorable to defendant.

26           Overt Act No. 7

27           On or about March 31, 2011, defendant caused a check in the  
28 amount of \$23,531.23 from Vanliner to be sent by mail to Pacific

1 Hospital in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on patient  
2 R.S. performed by doctor S.O., which claim was induced by the  
3 payment of a kickback to S.O.

4 Overt Act No. 8

5 On or about July 11, 2011, defendant caused Senator Calderon's  
6 son to be paid \$5,000 for clerical work Senator Calderon's son had  
7 performed at one of defendant's companies.

8 Overt Act No. 9

9 On or about August 16, 2011, defendant caused Senator  
10 Calderon's son to be paid \$5,000 for clerical work Senator  
11 Calderon's son had performed at one of defendant's companies.

12 Overt Act No. 10

13 On or about June 12, 2012, defendant had Senator Calderon  
14 arrange and participate in a meeting with Senator B, where Senator  
15 Calderon and defendant discussed the negative impact Senator B's  
16 proposed legislation would have on Pacific Hospital and other  
17 hospitals.

18 Overt Act No. 11

19 On or about June 29, 2012, defendant caused a kickback in the  
20 amount of \$100,000 to be paid to S.O. for the referral of lumbar and  
21 cervical spinal surgeries performed at Pacific Hospital, including  
22 on patients covered by the FECA.

23 Overt Act No. 12

24 On or about August 1, 2012, defendant authorized Senator  
25 Calderon's son to a gross salary of \$18,510.90 for clerical work  
26 Senator Calderon's son was performing at one of defendant's  
27 companies in order to guarantee that Senator Calderon's son's take-

28

1 home (or net) salary totaled approximately \$10,000 for the summer of  
2 2012.

3 Overt Act No. 13

4 On or about January 18, 2013, defendant caused a check in the  
5 amount of \$51,115.44 from Traveler's Insurance to be sent by mail to  
6 Pacific Hospital in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on  
7 patient F.C. performed by doctor T.R., which claim was induced by  
8 the payment of a kickback to T.R.

9 Overt Act No. 14

10 On or about January 24, 2013, defendant caused a check in the  
11 amount of \$117,142.36 from Vanliner to be sent by mail to Pacific  
12 Hospital in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on patient  
13 S.F. performed by doctor G.A., which claim was induced by the  
14 payment of a kickback to G.A.

15 Overt Act No. 15

16 On or about April 24, 2013, defendant caused a check in the  
17 amount of \$24,209.90 from ICW to be sent by mail to Pacific Hospital  
18 in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on patient F.A.  
19 performed by doctor L.T., which claim was induced by the payment of  
20 a kickback to L.T.

21 Overt Act No. 16

22 On or about November 27, 2013, defendant caused a check in the  
23 amount of \$50,903.76 from Traveler's Insurance to be sent by mail to  
24 Pacific Hospital in reimbursement for a claim for spine surgery on  
25 patient T.V. performed by doctor L.T., which claim resulted from the  
26 payment of a kickback to A.I.

27

28

SENTENCING FACTORS

22. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.

23. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

<u>Base Offense Level:</u>	6	[U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(2)]
<u>Specific Offense Characteristics</u>		
Loss between \$20M to \$50M:	+22	[U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(L)]
More than 50 victims:	+4	[U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(2)(B)]
Federal health care offense with gov't program loss of between \$1M-\$7M:	+2	[U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(7)]
<u>Adjustments</u>		
Aggravating Role:	+4	[U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(a)]
Acceptance of Responsibility:	-3	[U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1]
<u>Total:</u>	35	

1 The USAO will agree to a two-level downward adjustment for  
2 acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an  
3 additional one-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b))  
4 only if the conditions set forth in paragraph 6(c)) are met.  
5 Subject to paragraph 7 above and paragraph 35 below, defendant and  
6 the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either  
7 orally or in writing, that any other specific offense  
8 characteristics, adjustments, or departures relating to the offense  
9 level be imposed. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing  
10 this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an  
11 act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act  
12 committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act,  
13 in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice  
14 within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to  
15 seek the enhancement set forth in that section.

16 24. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to  
17 defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

18 25. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a  
19 sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing  
20 Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1),  
21 (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

22 WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

23 26. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant  
24 gives up the following rights:

- 25 a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.  
26 b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.  
27 c) The right to be represented by counsel - and if  
28 necessary have the court appoint counsel - at trial. Defendant



1 understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be  
2 represented by counsel - and if necessary have the court appoint  
3 counsel - at every other stage of the proceeding.

4 d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the  
5 burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty  
6 beyond a reasonable doubt.

7 e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses  
8 against defendant.

9 f) The right to testify and to present evidence in  
10 opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the  
11 attendance of witnesses to testify.

12 g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if  
13 defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that  
14 choice not be used against defendant.

15 h) Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative  
16 defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other  
17 pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

18 WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

19 27. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an  
20 appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were  
21 involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up  
22 any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which  
23 defendant is pleading guilty.

24 LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

25 28. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total  
26 term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than the  
27 low end of the Guidelines range corresponding to a total offense  
28 level of 35 and defendant's criminal history category, defendant

1 gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the  
2 procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion  
3 of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court,  
4 provided it is within the statutory maximum; (c) the fine imposed by  
5 the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the  
6 amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires  
7 payment of no more than \$20,000,000; (e) the term of probation or  
8 supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the  
9 statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of  
10 probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions  
11 set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court;  
12 the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and  
13 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18  
14 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

15 29. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the  
16 sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and  
17 (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than the low  
18 end of the Guidelines range corresponding to a total offense level  
19 of 35 and defendant's criminal history category, the USAO gives up  
20 its right to appeal any portion of the sentence, with the exception  
21 that the USAO reserves the right to appeal the following: the amount  
22 of restitution ordered, if that amount is less than \$50,000,000.

23 RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

24 30. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas  
25 pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds  
26 in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a  
27 claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was  
28 involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its

1 obligations under this agreement, including in particular its  
2 obligations regarding the use of Cooperation Information; (b) in any  
3 investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or  
4 regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information  
5 and any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information shall be  
6 admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and  
7 hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States  
8 Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation  
9 Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information  
10 should be suppressed or is inadmissible; and (c) should the USAO  
11 choose to pursue any charge that was not filed as a result of this  
12 agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be  
13 tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and  
14 the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and  
15 gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim  
16 of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to  
17 any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as  
18 of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

19 EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

20 31. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution  
21 of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel,  
22 and an Assistant United States Attorney.

23 BREACH OF AGREEMENT

24 32. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the  
25 signature of this agreement and execution of all required  
26 certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant  
27 United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any  
28 of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the

1 USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant  
2 knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial,  
3 falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely  
4 minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal  
5 conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of  
6 defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this  
7 agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and  
8 defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the  
9 express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this  
10 agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have  
11 occurred, then:

12 a) If defendant has previously entered guilty pleas  
13 pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw  
14 the guilty pleas.

15 b) The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations  
16 under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be  
17 bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to  
18 seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which  
19 defendant has pleaded guilty; (ii) will no longer be bound by any  
20 agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to  
21 criminally prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that  
22 the USAO would otherwise have been obligated not to criminally  
23 prosecute pursuant to this agreement; and (iii) will no longer be  
24 bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information  
25 and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in  
26 any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative,  
27 or regulatory action by the United States.

28

1           c)     The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute  
2 defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury  
3 based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.

4           d)     In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil,  
5 administrative, or regulatory action by the United States:

6     (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any  
7 claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of  
8 the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination;

9     and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any  
10 Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any

11 Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible  
12 against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives

13 and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any  
14 statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of

15 the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule,  
16 that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any

17 evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea  
18 Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

19           33.    Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this  
20 agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge  
21 that was not filed as a result of this agreement, then:

22           a)     Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of  
23 limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of  
24 this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.

25           b)     Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on  
26 the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or  
27 any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to  
28

1 the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's  
2 signing this agreement.

3 COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

4 34. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States  
5 Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not  
6 accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties'  
7 agreements to facts or sentencing factors.

8 35. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are  
9 free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information  
10 to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any  
11 and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing  
12 Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue  
13 on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing  
14 Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are  
15 not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the  
16 calculations in paragraph 23 are consistent with the facts of this  
17 case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to  
18 submit full and complete factual information to the United States  
19 Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may  
20 be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this  
21 agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's  
22 obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

23 36. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any  
24 sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions  
25 different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to  
26 the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that  
27 reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain  
28 bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement.

1 Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's  
2 attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise  
3 regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will  
4 be within the statutory maximum.

5 NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

6 37. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein,  
7 there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the  
8 USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional  
9 promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a  
10 writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

11 PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

12 38. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered  
13 part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the  
14 entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

15 AGREED AND ACCEPTED

16 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
17 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.  
19 United States Attorney

20 JEANNIE M. JOSEPH  
21 Assistant United States Attorney

22 MICHAEL D. DROBOT  
23 Defendant

24 JEFFREY N. RUMBERTFORD/JANET LEVINE  
25 Attorneys for Defendant  
26 Michael D. Drobot

27 TERREE A. BOWERS  
28 Attorney for Defendant  
Michael D. Drobot

2/20/14

Date

FEB 20, 2014

Date

FEB 20, 2014


Date

2/20/14


Date

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorneys. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorneys, and my attorneys have advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorneys in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.



MICHAEL D. DROBOT  
Defendant




Date



CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY


1  
2 I am Michael D. Drobot's attorney. I have carefully and  
3 thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client.  
4 Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible  
5 pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that  
6 might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing  
7 factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing  
8 Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this  
9 agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or  
10 representations of any kind have been made to my client other than  
11 those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced  
12 my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's  
13 decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary  
14 one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient  
15 to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this  
16 agreement.

17  
18   
19 JEFFREY H. RUTHERFORD/JANET LEVINE  
20 Attorneys for Defendant  
21 Michael D. Drobot

Feb. 20, 2014  
Date

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am Michael D. Drobot's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TERREE A. BOWERS  
Attorney for Defendant  
Michael D. Drobot

2/20/14  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Orange County,  
3 California. I am over 18 years of age, and I am not a party to the above-  
4 entitled action. My business address is the United States Attorney's Office,  
5 Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 411 West Fourth  
6 Street, Suite 8000, Santa Ana, California 92701.

7 That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the Central  
8 District of California, who is a member of the Bar of the United States  
9 District Court for the Central District of California, at whose direction the  
10 service was made. On this date, February 21, 2014, I served a copy of the  
11 foregoing documents, described as follows: **PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT**  
12 **MICHAEL D. DROBOT** in the following manner:

13  by placing a true copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the  
14 person specified below, and placing it for interoffice delivery within the  
15 courthouse:

16  by placing the document in a sealed envelope, bearing the  
17 requisite postage thereon, and placing it for mailing via the U.S. Postal  
18 Service addressed as follows:

19  by fax to the person and fax number specified below:

20  by e-mailing a pdf. version of the document to the e-mail  
21 address specified below:

22 Jeffrey H. Rutherford/Janet Levine  
23 Crowell & Moring LLP  
24 515 South Flower Street, 40<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90017

25 Terree A. Bowers  
26 Arent Fox  
27 555 West Fifth Street, 48<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90013

28 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and  
correct, executed on February 21, 2014, at Santa Ana, California.

  
GINA HERNANDEZ